## NATIONAL CLIMATE BANK PROPOSALS IN THE 117TH CONGRESS



Jason Ye, Center for Climate and Energy Solutions

Developing, deploying, and scaling low- and zero-carbon technologies in time to avoid the worst impacts of climate change—while also bolstering resilience to climate impacts—will require an unprecedented infusion of capital in a very short time frame. Over the past decade, green banks have emerged as a critical mechanism to strategically deploy public financial resources in ways that can leverage private capital and accelerate the transition to a decarbonized, resilient future. This factsheet compares six climate bank proposals introduced in the 117th Congress (2020–2021).

The idea of a national climate bank has gained renewed attention. Congress last seriously considered a climate bank proposal in 2009 that would create the Clean Energy Development Administration (CEDA), with key functions based on the green bank model. Since then, national climate bank proposals have been introduced in the last several Congresses. This factsheet summarizes and compares six climate bank proposals that have been introduced so far in the 117th Congress (2021–2022), highlighting similarities and differences:

- Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator Act (H.R. 806) introduced by Rep. Debbie Dingell (D-Mich.) on February 4, 2021
- National Climate Bank Act (S. 283) introduced by Sen. Ed Markey (D-Mass.) on February 8, 2021
- CLEAN Future Act (H.R. 1512) introduced by Rep. Frank Pallone (D-N.J.) on March 2, 2021
- America's Clean Future Fund Act (S. 685 and H.R. 2451) introduced by Sen. Dick Durbin (D-Ill.) on March 10, 2021 and by Rep. Marie Newman (D-Ill.) on April 12, 2021
- LIFT America Act (H.R. 1848) introduced by Rep. Frank Pallone (D-N.J.) on March 11, 2021
- The U.S. Green Bank Act (S. 1208 and H.R. 2656) introduced by Sen. Chris Murphy (D-Conn.) and Rep. James Himes (D-Conn.) on April 19, 2021

All of these climate bank proposals would operate as a revolving fund, but differ in terms of design and scope. The Dingell proposal has been included in the CLEAN Future Act and the LIFT America Act. While the majority of these proposals would establish an independent, nonprofit green bank, the Murphy-Himes proposal would establish a government-run Green Bank and the Durbin proposal would establish a Climate Change Finance Corporation (C2FC) that would operate as an independent agency.

All except one proposal would be capitalized through appropriations. The Markey and Dingell proposals would capitalize their respective banks with an initial \$50 billion and appropriate an additional \$50 billion over five years. The DeFazio proposal would appropriate \$20 billion over six years for its Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator. The Durbin proposal would initially capitalize its C2FC with \$30 billion in appropriations over two years and then provide annual funding from some of the revenues from a carbon fee. In contrast, the Murphy-Himes proposal would initially capitalize its Green Bank through the issuance of \$10 billion in green bonds and would have a maximum capitalization of \$50 billion at any one time.

All of the proposals would allow their respective climate banks to provide some type of financing or capital to state and local green banks. Almost all of the proposals would also provide technical assistance and start-up capitalization to help establish state and local green banks to foster investments in markets that are better served by a local entity.

All but one proposal would allow their respective climate banks to directly invest in eligible projects. The Murphy-Himes proposal would only be able to finance projects through eligible state and local green banks. The proposals offer similar investment and financing tools, but differ in offering assistance to "special projects." For instance, the Dingell proposal would also provide low- and zero-interest loans to schools, metropolitan planning organizations, or nonprofit organizations seeking financing to deploy zero-emission vehicles and related infrastructure. The Markey proposal would also establish a cash for carbon program to use market mechanisms (e.g., reverse auctions) to remove greenhouse gas emissions from the power sector. The Durbin proposal would also provide grants to state and local governments, research and development institutions to develop and deploy clean energy and help communities build climate resilience.

All of the proposals would make eligible a range of projects that would deploy clean energy technologies, clean transportation, decarbonization of industry, and climate resilience. Several proposals—such as the Dingell, Durbin, and Markey proposals—would include agriculture projects that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Durbin proposal also includes research and development of clean energy and clean technologies such as carbon capture utilization and storage and direct air capture.

The proposals also differ in prioritizing projects. The Dingell proposal would prioritize projects that would: maximize the reduction of emissions for every dollar deployed by the Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator; benefit climate-impacted communities (e.g., communities of color, frontline communities, low-income communities, and small rural communities) and ensure that 40 percent of the Accelerator's investment activity is directed towards these communities; benefit consumers and affected communities; and ensure workers financed directly by the Accelerator are paid a prevailing wage. The Markey proposal would prioritize projects that would: ensure that 40 percent of the National Climate Bank's investment activity is directed towards disadvantaged communities, give priority to projects that provide jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and serve disadvantaged communities or rural communities. The Durbin proposal would prioritize projects that would serve: prioritized communities (e.g., environmental justice communities, communities of color, indigenous communities, and low-income communities), deindustrialized or fossil-fuel reliant communities, and low-income communities at risk of climate impacts. The Durbin proposal would also require 40 percent of the grants be given to prioritized communities.

	NAME	MANDATE	STRUCTURE / ORGANIZATION TYPE
Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator Act (H.R. 806) CLEAN Future Act (H.R. 1512) LIFT America Act (H.R. 1848)	Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator	Combat climate change through deployment of ma- ture technologies and com- mercialization and scaling of new technologies (mitigation and resilience).	Nonprofit, 30-year charter
National Climate Bank Act (S. 283)	National Climate Bank	Combat climate change through deployment of ma- ture technologies and com- mercialization and scaling of new technologies (mitigation and resilience).	Nonprofit, 30-year charter
America's Clean Future Fund Act (S. 685 and H.R. 2451)	0	Combat and reduce effects of climate change (mitigation and resilience) and to meet the economy goal of net- zero emissions by 2050.	Public
U.S. Green Bank Act (S. 1208 and H.R. 2656)	Green Bank	To increase the pace of in- vestments in clean energy, mitigation and adoption projects at the state and local level.	Public, 40-year charter

	FUNDING / CAPITALIZATION	GOVERNANCE
Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator Act (H.R. 806) CLEAN Future Act (H.R. 1512) LIFT America Act (H.R. 1848)	\$50 billion upon enactment, \$10 billion per year for five years thereafter.	Seven-member Board of Directors (3 mem- bers appointed by president and confirmed by senate, 4 members elected by appointed members). CEO appointed by board.
National Climate Bank Act (S. 283)	\$50 billion upon enactment, \$10 billion per year for five years thereafter. Bank may accept and use philanthropic funds.	Seven-member Board of Directors (Treasury Secretary, Energy Secretary, CFP Director, and 4 members appointed by the president and confirmed by senate). CEO appointed by board.
America's Clean Future Fund Act (S. 685 and H.R. 2451)	. , , ,	Seven-member Board of Directors appoint- ed by the president and confirmed by sen- ate. Chair and Vice Chairperson appointed by president and confirmed by senate.
U.S. Green Bank Act (S. 1208 and H.R. 2656)	Initial capitalization of \$10 billion from sale of Green Bonds, additional capitaliza- tion cannot exceed \$50 billion at any one time.	Nine-member Board of Directors (Trea- sury Secretary, Energy Secretary, Transpor- tation Secretary, EPA Administrator, and Defense Secretary, and four appointed by the President and confirmed by the senate.

	TYPES OF ASSISTANCE / INVESTMENT TOOLS
Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator	Directly finance qualifying projects or indirectly by providing capital to state and local green banks.
Act (H.R. 806)	Capital for qualified projects in the form of:
CLEAN Future Act (H.R. 1512)	• senior, mezzanine, and subordinated debt
LIFT America Act	credit enhancements including loan loss reserves and loan guarantees
(H.R. 1848)	aggregation and warehousing;
	equity capital
	• other financial products approved by the board.
	Within a year of establishment, the accelerator will explore the establishment of a program to provide low- or zero-interest loans to schools, metropolitan planning organizations, or nonprofit organizations seeking financing for zero emission vehicle fleets and related infrastructure.
National Climate Bank	Directly finance projects that reduce emissions and provide financing to green banks.
Act (S. 283)	Capital for projects in the form of:
	equity investments in clean energy projects
	• direct lending, co-lending, and credit enhancements.
	Appropriate debt and risk mitigation, and equity products must be approved by the Invest- ment Committee of the Board and be consistent with the mission of the Bank.
	Within a year of establishment, the Accelerator will explore the establishment of a program to accelerate the transition to zero-emissions power generation and to invest in communi- ties affected by the transition away from carbon-intensive facilities or assets.
	Explore establishing a cash for carbon program, which can use "market mechanisms" to remove GHG from the power system.

	TYPES OF ASSISTANCE / INVESTMENT TOOLS	
America's Clean Future Fund Act (S. 685 and H.R. 2451)	unions, green banks, community development financial institution, minority depository	
	Other investment tools and products include:	
	warehousing and aggregation credit facilities	
	zero interest loans	
	credit enhancements	
	construction finance	
	• other investment tools and products approved by the Board.	
	Grants to eligible entities (i.e., states and local government, Indian tribe, and R&D institu- tions including national labs). 40% of grants should be given to prioritized communities (i.e., environmental justice communities, communities of color, indigenous communities, and low-income communities).	
U.S. Green Bank Act (S. 1208 and H.R. 2656)	Green Bank will provide competitive financing to state and local green banks to invest in qualify projects.	
	This includes:	
	• loans	
	loan guarantees	
	• credit buy downs	
	• other financing that the Green Bank determines as appropriate.	

	ELIGIBLE PROJECTS	
Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator	Renewable energy (i.e., solar, wind, geothermal, hydropower, ocean and hydrokinetic, fuel cell)	
Act (H.R. 806)	Building energy electrification, fuel switching, and electrification	
CLEAN Future Act (H.R. 1512)	Industrial decarbonization	
LIFT America Act (H.R. 1848)	tion including smart grid applications)	
	Agriculture and forestry projects that reduce net greenhouse gas emissions	
	Clean transportation (i.e., battery electric vehicles, plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, hy- drogen vehicles, other zero-emission fueled vehicles, related vehicle charging and fueling infrastructure)	
	Climate resilient infrastructure	
	Any other key areas identified by the Board as consistent with the mandate of the accelera- tor	
National Climate Bank	Renewable energy	
Act (S. 283)	Energy storage	
	Transportation, including: low- and zero-emission vehicle infrastructure, transit-oriented development, and active transportation	
	Transmission for clean energy	
	Climate resiliency measures	
	Energy and water efficiency, including residential, commercial, and industrial efficiency	
	Reforestation of degraded land	
	Agricultural projects	
	Electrification and decarbonization of industrial processes	
	Any other key areas identified by the Board as consistent with the purpose of the Bank	

	ELIGIBLE PROJECTS	
America's Clean Future	6/ / 10	
Fund Act (S. 685 and H.R. 2451)	Clean transportation programs and deployment, including programs to:	
	purchase personal vehicles, commercial vehicles, and public transportation fleets and school bus fleets	
	deploy electric vehicle charging and hydrogen infrastructure	
	develop and deploy low carbon sustainable aviation fuels	
	Development or purchase of equipment for agricultural decarbonization	
	RD&D for clean energy and vehicle manufacturing, battery storage, and natural infrastruc- ture	
	Development and deployment of clean energy technologies on:	
	carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration, bioenergy with carbon capture and seques- tration, direct air capture, and infrastructure associated with those processes	
	energy storage and grid modernization	
	geothermal energy	
	commercial and residential solar	
	wind energy	
	other clean technology, as determined by the Board	
	Climate resilient infrastructure	
	Weatherization assistance for low-income households	
	Agricultural sector resilience	
U.S. Green Bank Act (S. 1208 and H.R. 2656)	Qualified clean energy projects	
(3. 1200 and m.K. 2050)	Qualified energy efficiency projects	
	Qualified climate change mitigation or adaptation projects	

	PROJECT PRIORITIZATION
Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator	The accelerator should:
Act (H.R. 806)	maximize emission reductions for every dollar spent
CLEAN Future Act (H.R. 1512)	<ul> <li>prioritize program benefits and investments for climate-impacted communities</li> <li>ensure that at 40% of investment activity is directed toward climate-impacted com-</li> </ul>
LIFT America Act	• ensure that at 40% of investment activity is directed toward climate-impacted com- munities
(H.R. 1848)	prioritize qualified projects according to benefits for consumers
	ensure laborers are paid prevailing wage.
National Climate Bank	National Climate Bank should ensure that:
Act (S. 283)	• at least 40% of the investment activity is directed toward disadvantaged communities
	• give priority to projects that provide jobs, reduce GHG emissions, and serve low- income, minority, distressed neighborhoods or rural communities
	• projects provide access to low-carbon infrastructure at affordable rates to families in low-income minority, and distressed neighborhood or a rural area
	• ensure projects comply with the Consumer Credit Protection Act, and large projects utilize a project-labor agreement.
America's Clean Future	C2FC will give priority to:
Fund Act (S. 685 and H.R. 2451)	prioritized communities
	• deindustrialized communities or communities with a significant reliance on carbon- intensive industries
	low-income communities at risk of climate impacts
	public or nonprofit entities serving dislocated workers/veterans
	communities with minimal climate investments.
U.S. Green Bank Act (S. 1208 and H.R. 2656)	Not specified.

	OVERSIGHT / REPORTING	OTHER
Clean Energy and Sustainability Accelerator Act (H.R. 806) CLEAN Future Act (H.R. 1512) LIFT America Act	accelerator. The accelerator should publish an annual	Start-up division to help localities establish their own green banks.
(H.R. 1848) National Climate Bank Act (S. 283)	The Comptroller of the Currency within the Treasury Department will have oversight of the Bank.	Start-up division to help localities establish their own green banks.
America's Clean Future Fund Act (S. 685 and H.R. 2451)	progress to date and project recommenda-	Funding from carbon fee.
U.S. Green Bank Act (S. 1208 and H.R. 2656)	State and local green banks receiving financial support required to provide quarterly progress reports on fulfilling the objectives of such support.	New bank division to help localities estab- lish their own green banks.



The Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES) is an independent, nonpartisan, nonprofit organization working to forge practical solutions to climate change. We advance strong policy and action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote clean energy, and strengthen resilience to climate impacts.

3100 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 800 ARLINGTON, VA 22201 703-516-4146

C2ES.ORG